



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,917	08/02/2001	Loic Brunel	211922US2	3990
22850	7590	06/28/2005	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/919,917

Applicant(s)

BRUNEL, LOIC

Examiner

Pankaj Kumar

Art Unit

2631

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 16-23 and 25-28 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8/2/2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant argues that Kochiyama does not teach a common phase rotation undergone by the signals received by all antennae. This is not persuasive since applicant has not claimed this.

Response to Amendment

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because
 - a. It should not contain the title of the invention.
 - b. It should not contain legal terminology such as the word "comprising"
3. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because the elements should be labeled with words and numbers (especially in figure 3). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet"

Art Unit: 2631

or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 15, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kochiyama USPN 5,400,036. Here is how the reference teaches the claim:

7. As per claim 15: Method of estimating the channel and the direction of arrival of a signal transmitted by a transmitter and received by an array of antennae after being propagated along at least one path (Kochiyama fig. 1: receivers 1, 2, 3, 4 receiving the transmitted signal P), comprising for each path:

8. a first step of estimating for each antenna in the array of antennae a total phase difference from a signal received by each antenna (Kochiyama col. 5 lines 12-14: phase difference by each of the antennas is used later) (Kochiyama fig. 1: the phase difference from one antenna to the other is the total phase difference which provides the total phase rotation)

9. a second step of estimating the angle of arrival (θ) of the signal (Kochiyama col. 5 line 14-15: the phase difference is used for calculating target direction angle) as well as the phase rotation ($1z$) undergone by the signal along the at least one path using each of the antennae total

Art Unit: 2631

phase differences determined in the first step; (Kochiyama fig. 1: the phase difference from one antenna to the other is the total phase rotation) and

10. a third step of estimating the attenuation (a) undergone by the signal along the said path from the estimated values (ϕ , θ) of the phase rotation and the angle of arrival (not in Kochiyama but would be obvious as explained below).

11. What Kochiyama does not teach is estimating the attenuation (a) undergone by the signal along the said path from the estimated values (ϕ , θ) of the phase rotation and the angle of arrival; however, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, to modify the prior art teaching of Kochiyama with estimating the attenuation (a) undergone by the signal along the said path from the estimated values (ϕ , θ) of the phase rotation and the angle of arrival as recited by the instant claims, because Kochiyama suggests amplifying is based on the output signal that is received and collected (Kochiyama claims 4 and 5) and thus it needs to know the attenuation in order to know how much to amplify in the analogous art of energy transmission.

12. Various limitations in the preamble, such as channel and propagated, recite the intended use of a structure and the body of claim does not depend on such limitations for completeness and the bodily limitations are able to stand alone of such limitations. Thus, such limitations in the preamble are not accorded patentable weight as the bodily limitations do not require such limitations as channel or propagated.

13. As per claim 24, the rejection of claim 15 applies.

Art Unit: 2631

Allowable Subject Matter


14. Claims 16-23, 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri after 8AM to after 6:30PM.

16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Pankaj Kumar
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2631

PK